UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,893	01/17/2001	Shih-Chieh Hung	11709-003001	6011
Shih-Chieh Hu	7590 10/12/201	EXAMINER		
Dept. of Orthop. and Traumetology, Vet. General			DUNSTON, JENNIFER ANN	
201, Sec. 2, Shih-pai Road Hospital-Taipei		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Taipei, 11217 TAIWAN		1636		
IAIWAN				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/761,893	HUNG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jennifer Dunston	1636	

	Germiner Barioteri	1000
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, which places the e with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	t of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further coid</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.6.9-11 and 34-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-20.		ill be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		in condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Jennifer Dunston/	
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1636	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendement to claim 1 would require further search and consideration. The amendment changes the scope of the claim such that the small sized cells that pass through the pores of the upper plate may be hematopoietic cells or non-hematopoietic cells, thereby broadening the scope of the claim. Furthermore, the amendment includes an additional step that was not previously presented in the claim. Thus, the amendment would require further search and consideration.

The proposed addition of new claim 41 raises the issue of new matter. The specification does not provide support for the claimed method where the pores are about 0.4 to 20 microns in diameter. Support for the specific range or a specific pore size of 20 microns could not be found in the originally filed specification.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to Applicant's arguments directed to the rejection of record under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, all arguments are directed to the newly amended claims. As discussed above, the amendments have not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are moot and will not be addressed.